To Heparinize or Not to Heparinize Wanda Rodriguez RN, CPN CHOC Children's, Orange, CA

Background

Most children admitted to an acute health care facility require placement of a peripheral intravenous (IV) device for medication and/or fluid administration. Maintaining patency of a "non-infusing" peripheral IV (peripheral intravenous infusion device, or PIID) is extremely important to continue administration of intermittent IV medications, minimize the number of IV catheter placements and decrease the cost of supplies associated with multiple IV placements. Since the 1980s, numerous studies and systematic reviews have shown that the use of normal saline flushes is equivalent to the use of heparin flushes to maintain patency of PIID's (Cook et al., 1998; Goode et al., 1991; Mitsiou et al., 2008). Most of the studies have been completed using adult populations. One of the first studies evaluating saline flush use in the pediatric population began in 1988. (Lombardi et al., 1988). Due to the side effects related to heparin use, it is important to evaluate the use of normal saline flushes as an alternative to heparin flushes to maintain PIID patency in the pediatric population.

PICO Question

In the medical surgical young children and adolescent population does a ten unit heparin flush given at intervals through a non-infusing peripheral IV increase the length of patency compared with normal saline?

Clinical Trigger

EBP review undertaken because the use of heparin flushes is the current standard in our institution for PIID's irrespective of patient age, diagnosis, or gauge of catheters.

Current literature suggests the efficacy of saline flushes to maintain the patency of an intermittent IV access site for children of all ages, including neonates, using catheters of 24 gauge and larger.

Heparin is a medication that can cause:

- Allergic reactions, hemorrhage, thrombocytopenia.
- Pain at the injection site during infusion of the flush.
- Incompatibility with certain medications, thus requiring the use of the SASH (saline, med, saline, heparin) procedure to mitigate this effect
- Increased cost due to actual cost of heparin and nursing time.

Evidence Search

Databases searched for this review included: CINAHL, Pub Med, Ovid. Reviewed web sites included AHRQ, Joanna Briggs, National Institute of Health, and Elsevier Health.

Fifteen articles related to the PICO question were reviewed. Publication dates ranged from 1988-2008. Reviewed articles included systematic reviews, meta-analysis, randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental trials and descriptive studies.

- Use of normal saline as an intermittent flush in PIID's is as effective as heparin flush for maintaining patency of the device (Leduc, 1997; Mitsiou et al, 2008; Randolph et al., 1997; Shaw et al., 2005;
- Thamlitkul et al., 2006). (Level 1) • Use of heparin as an intermittent flush in peripheral IV catheters leads to more incidences of phlebitis (Lombardi et al., 1988; Tripathi et al., 2008). (Level 1)

Summary of Findings

Table 1: Studies Of Heparin (Hep) vs Normal Saline (NS) Flushes For PIID's							
Study	Setting/Sample	Gauge of Peripheral Catheter	Solution Used	Amount of Infusion	Interval Between Infusions	Technique	Findings
Beecroft et al., 1997 Randomized double blind stratified by facility	8 Children's and one community hosp. NICU-13yrs	22-24 gauge	10units hep/ml ns 100units hep/ml ns NS	Not discussed	Not discussed	Positive pressure	Hep group patent longer then NS.
Danek & Norrris, 1992 Randomized sequential double blind	General pediatric unit, PICU and NICU newborn- 18vrs	22-24 gauge	10units hep/ml ns NS	1ml 1ml	Q8hrs	Positive pressure	Saline less effective then Hep for maintaining function.
Gyr et al., 1995 Randomized double blind Quasi-experimental	1mos-19yrs Pediatric and NICU,	16-24 gauge (22- 89%, 24-5%)	10units hep/ml ns NS	Not discussed	1-8hourly	Positive pressure over 10-20 seconds	Saline flush had more problems with clotting, infiltrations and patency.
Kleiber et al., 1993 Randomized controlled double blind	Pediatric units	24 gauge and unknown	10 units hep/ml ns NS	Not discussed	Q6hrs	Positive pressure	Hep and NS comparable
LeDuc, 1997 Randomized controlled double blind	ER pts. 1-22yrs	22-24 gauge	10units hep/ml ns NS	3ml 3ml	Not discussed	Positive pressure	No difference between 2 groups for demographics or complications.
Lombardi et al., 1988 Non randomized sequential double blind	Pediatric unit (no ICU) 4wks-18yrs	Not discussed	10units hep/ml ns NS	1ml 1ml	Q6-8hrs	Not discussed	NS and Hep equally effective.
McMullen et al., 1993 Randomized controlled double blind	Birth to 18yrs	18-24 (small subsample of 24)	10units hep/ml ns NS	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed	No significant difference between Hep and NS.
Mok, Kwong, & Chan, 2002 Randomized controlled double blind	General pediatrics 1-10yrs	22-24 gauge. (most were 24)	1unit hep/ml ns 10unit hep/ns NS	1ml 1ml 1ml	6-8hrs	Positive pressure	No benefit of Hep over NS.
Mudge, Forcier & Slattery, 1998 Non-randomized sequential blinded study	newborn-2yrs (57% preemies)	24 gauge	10units hep/ml ns NS	1ml	Q8-12hrs	Not discussed	Hep group patent significantly longer then NS with more saline flushed catheters removed.
Nelson & Graves, 1998 Randomized controlled double blind	Medical and surgical infant unit or NICU birth -1yr	24gauge	10units hep/ml ns NS	1.5ml 1.5ml	Q 8hrs	Not discussed	No significant difference between Hep and NS.
Paisley, 1997 Randomized quasi -experimental	Infants 32wks and older	Not discussed	10units hep/ml ns NS	.6ml .6ml	Not discussed	Not discussed	No statistical difference between Hep and NS for duration of use.
Tang, Cheung, & Yip, 2000 Randomized open design	PICU and general pediatric pts. over 1yr	20-24 gauge	lunit hep/ml NS NS	Not discussed	Not discussed	Positive pressure	No significant difference between Hep and NS.

• Use of normal saline as an intermittent

Synthesis of Evidence

• Use of heparin as an intermittent flush al., 2006). (Level 1)



CHOC Children's



flush in peripheral IV catheters is more efficient when positive pressure technique is used with the flush (Beecroft et al., 1997; Gyr, 1995; Kleiber et al., 1993; LeDuc, 1997; Tang et al., 2001). (Level

in peripheral IV catheters is costly due to costs of heparin and in nursing time (Campbell et al., 2005; LeDuc, 1997; Lombardi et al., 1988; Mitsiou et al., 2008; Mok et al., 2006; Thamlikitkul et

Recommedations

- Saline flush is as efficacious as heparin flush for maintaining patency in PIID's of young children and adolescents with catheter gauges of 24 and larger.
- When saline flush is used rather than heparin the technique of positive pressure displacement is important. This technique involves injecting the last 0.1 mL of saline while simultaneously removing the syringe tip or closing the white side clamp on a T-connector to create positive pressure.
- Saline flushes may be administered every 6 to 12 hours for optimal catheter maintenance.
- Amount of the saline flush used to maintain patency each time administered may range between 0.6 mL – 3.0 mL.
- Policies and procedures at the institution need to be changed to reflect use of saline flush rather than heparin flush to maintain patency of PIID's.

Literature Cited

Available upon request.

Acknowledgments

- The Evidence-Based Scholars Program was supported by a grant from the Walden and Jean Young Shaw Foundation.
- Vicky R. Bowden, DNSC, RN Azusa Pacific University **EBP Scholars Program Mentor**
- Children's Hospital of Orange County Nursing Administration